

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/441,083	11/16/1999		KIYOSHI SUKEGAWA	1614.1011	3835
21171 7:	590 11/14/2006			EXAMINER	
STAAS & HA	ALSEY LLP	•		TRAN, D	ZUNG D
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20005			2613	
				DATE MAN ED. 11/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Andie O	09/441,083	SUKEGAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dzung D. Tran	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Street</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		5
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-24 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 3-5, 12-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 09/441,083

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 12-18 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jennings et al. US publication no. 2002/0015200 in view of Koga et al. US patent no. 5,995,254 and further in view of Touma et al. US patent no. 6,288,809.

In considering claims 1, 12-17 and 18, Jennings discloses a system and method for monitoring and characterizing optical links, the transmission line monitoring comprising:

a first optical coupling unit (figure 2, element 124, paragraph 0016, line 3) which couples a down data signal of a first wavelength (figure 2, element λ 2, paragraph 0016, line 4) and a test signal (same as claimed examination signal) of a second wavelength (figure 2, element λ 1, paragraph 0016, line 2) so as to transmit a first coupled signal (λ 1, λ 2) to a lower apparatus (paragraph 0016, lines 5-6);

a first optical dividing unit (figure 2, element 128, paragraph 0016, line 7) to demultiplex said first coupled signal (λ 1, λ 2) from said optical coupling unit so as to

Art Unit: 2613

divide and split said first coupled signal (λ 1, λ 2) into said down data signal with the first wavelength (λ 2) and said examination signal with the second wavelength (λ 1), said examination signal (λ 1) being return;

a second optical coupling unit (figure 2, element 130, paragraph 0016, line 9) which couples an up data signal with the third wavelength (λ 3) and said examination signal (λ 1) from said first optical dividing unit 128 so as to transmit a second coupled signal (λ 1, λ 3) toward a host apparatus;

a second optical dividing unit (figure 2, element 126, paragraph 0017, line 9) to demultiplex said second coupled signal (λ 1, λ 3) from said second optical coupling unit 130 so as to divide said second coupled signal (λ 1, λ 3) into said up data signal with the first wavelength (λ 3) and said examination signal with the second wavelength (λ 1); a monitoring shelf unit 122 which monitors and determined a fault and a location of said fault by using said examination signal with the second wavelength λ 1 (page 2, paragraphs 0018, 0019). Jennings differs from claims 1 and 12-17 of the present invention in that Jennings does not specifically disclose a specific wavelength (for example λ 2) for an up data signal (e.g. the invention claimed the up data signal of the first wavelength λ 2) and wherein the first optical coupling unit, said first optical dividing unit, said second optical coupling unit, and said second optical dividing unit are formed of passive elements.

Koga discloses a DWM light transmitting system which can monitor its transmission line (abstract) having the same wavelength (λ 1) for transmitting the down

Art Unit: 2613

data signal and up data signal (figure 2). Since the down data signal and up data signal is transmitting through different links (e.g. up line and down line) and therefore no interference between down data signal and up data signal, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to include the teaching of Koga in the transmission line monitoring of Jennings. One of an ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do that in order to use the same components (e.g. same LED for outputting same wavelength, or filter for filtering the same wavelength) through out the system, thus it reducing maintenance costs associated with the system.

Touma discloses an optical network having an optical star coupler SC (e.g., equivalent to a multiplexer/demultiplexer) is a passive optical device (col. 1, lines 23-26,col. 2, lines 28-32). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to replace the demultiplexer, multiplexer of Jennings with the passive multiplexer/demultiplexer taught by Touma, furthermore, examiner take an official notice that passive multiplexer/demultiplexer is well recognized in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to formed a passive optical transmission system that is the optical system for transmitting the optical line without optical/electrical conversion, thus it's reduce the system cost.

In considering claim 3, Jennings further discloses a laser test source (same as first examination signal generator) (figure 2, element 120) which generates said examination signal with the second wavelength (figure 2, element λ 1).

In considering claim 5, Jennings further discloses a WDM coupler 128 which perform the same function as the examination generator that is divides an input down data signal into two signals, one signal being converted into said down data signal with the first wavelength, the other signal being converted into said examination signal with the second wavelength.

Regarding claims 19-24, Koga further discloses in figure 2, up data signal with the first wavelength $\lambda 1$ includes at least portion of said down data signal (figure 2, col. 3, lines 60-67).

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jennings et al. US publication no. 2002/0015200 in view of Koga et al. US patent no. 5,995,254 and Touma et al. US patent no. 6,288,809 and further in view of Tsushima et al. US patent no. 5,500,756.

In considering claim 4, as per claims above, the combination of Jennings, Koga and Touma discloses all the limitations and Koga further disclose monitoring unit including which monitors a signal level of said examination signal with the second wavelength and, if said signal level is lower than a predetermined signal level (column 3, lines 21-25). The combination of Jennings, Koga and Touma does not disclose an alarm information output unit which monitors a signal level of the examination signal with the second wavelength and, if the signal level is lower than a predetermined signal level, then outputs an alarm information and insert the alarm information into an up data signal to be transmitted to the host apparatus and controls start and stop of the alarm

Art Unit: 2613

information output unit and start and stop of the alarm information display/transferring unit. Tsushima from the same field of endeavor, discloses an optical system having a supervisory equipment (see figure 10) including a power monitor 9 for detecting data power Pd and a controller 10 for comparing with the normal value to judge that whether the optical equipment is failure then output an alarm signal (col. 2, line 62 to col. 3, line 8). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to include the teaching of Tsushima in the transmission line monitoring of Jennings, Koga and Touma. One of an ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do that in order to inform the system maintenance technician (visible or audible) of the system failure information (e.g. fault location or failure equipment).

4. Claims 6-11 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments that the SC of Touma does not demultiplex an optical signal nor multiplex signals having difference wavelength. However, as the rejection of claim 1, Touma discloses an optical network having an optical star coupler SC (e.g., equivalent to a multiplexer/demultiplexer) is a passive optical device (col. 1, lines 23-26,col. 2, lines 28-32). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to replace the demultiplexer, multiplexer of Jennings with the passive multiplexer/demultiplexer taught by Touma, furthermore,

examiner take an official notice that passive multiplexer/demultiplexer is well recognized in the art.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung D Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3025. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/441,083

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dzung Tran 11/05/2006

DZUNG TRAN
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER